

REMARKS

This responds to the Office Action mailed on April 21, 2008.

Claims 1, 8, 15, 20, and 25 are amended, claims 6, 11, and 28 were previously canceled, without prejudice to the Applicant; as a result, claims 1-5, 7-10, and 12-27 are now pending in this application.

Example support for the amendments may be found in a variety of locations throughout the original filed specification. By way of example only the Examiner's attention is directed to the original filed specification paragraphs 23, *etc.*

§103 Rejection of the Claims

Claims 1-5, 8-10 and 12-27 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsumi (U.S. 6,369,846) in view of Nefian (U.S. 2003/0212557) and Lubiarz et al. (U.S. 7,003,452).

None of the references discuss capturing the video and audio together and at different rates from one another and then separating them from one another and re-associating based on time when captured. Thus, Applicant asserts that the rejections of record should be withdrawn and the claims allowed. Applicant respectfully requests an indication of the same.

Claims 7 and 12 were also rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsumi in view of Nefian and Lubiarz et al., and further in view of Van Schyndel (U.S. 5,940,118). Claims 7 and 12 are dependent from amended independent claims; thus, for the amendments and remarks presented above with respect to the corresponding independent claims, the rejections of claims 7 and 12 should be withdrawn. Applicant respectfully requests an indication of the same.

RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

In the interest of clarity and brevity, Applicant may not have addressed every assertion made in the Office Action. Applicant's silence regarding any such assertion does not constitute any admission or acquiescence. Applicant reserves all rights not exercised in connection with this response, such as the right to challenge or rebut any tacit or explicit characterization of any reference or of any of the present claims, the right to challenge or rebut any asserted factual or legal basis of any of the rejections, the right to swear behind any cited reference such as provided under 37 C.F.R. § 1.131 or otherwise, or the right to assert co-ownership of any cited reference. Applicant does not admit that any of the cited references or any other references of record is relevant to the present claims, or that they constitute prior art. To the extent that any rejection or assertion is based upon the Examiner's personal knowledge, rather than any objective evidence of record as manifested by a cited prior art reference, Applicant timely objects to such reliance on Official Notice, and reserves all rights to request that the Examiner provide a reference or affidavit in support of such assertion, as required by MPEP § 2144.03. Applicant reserves all rights to pursue any cancelled claims in a subsequent patent application claiming the benefit of priority of the present patent application, and to request rejoinder of any withdrawn claim, as required by MPEP § 821.04.

CONCLUSION


Applicant respectfully submits that the claims are in condition for allowance, and notification to that effect is earnestly requested. The Examiner is invited to telephone Applicant's representative at (513) 942-0224 to facilitate prosecution of this application.

If necessary, please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 19-0743.

Respectfully submitted,

SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG & WOESSNER, P.A.
P.O. Box 2938
Minneapolis, MN 55402
(513) 942-0224

Date 07-21-08

By /  /

Joseph P. Mehrle
Reg. No. 45,535